EXHIBIT C

In The Matter Of:

BLUE SPIKE, LLC v.
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, et al.

AHMED TEWFIK, Ph.D. - Vol. 1

June 15, 2015

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

27 Maiden Lane Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94108 Phone: 415.357.4300

Fax: 415.357.4301

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

S

S

VS. S CASE NO.

> 6:12-CV-00499-MHS-CMC S

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC., et al.

Defendants.

S

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF AHMED TEWFIK, Ph.D

JUNE 15, 2015

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF AHMED TEWFIK, Ph.D, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 15th day of June 2015, from 9:03 a.m. to 4:41 p.m., before Caroline Chapman, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by Computerized Stenotype Machine, Computer-Assisted Transcription, at the Law Offices of Vinson & Elkins LLP, 2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100, Austin, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. SF-043369

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY AHMED TEWFIK, Ph.D. - 6/15/2015

Page 2

1	APPEARANCES
2	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:
3	GARTEISER HONEA RANDALL GARTEISER, ESQ.
4	KIRK ANDERSON, ESQ. MOLLY JONES, ESQ.
5	CHRISTOPHER HONEA, ESQ.
6	218 North College Avenue Tyler, Texas 75702
7	(888) 908-4400 kanderson@ghiplaw.com chonea@ghiplaw.com
8	enoneaegnipiaw.com
9	COUNSEL FOR AUDIBLE MAGIC:
10	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE GABRIEL M. RAMSEY, ESQ.
11	ALYSSA M. CARIDIS, ESQ.
12	The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street
13	San Francisco, California 94105-2669 (415) 773-5700 Fax (415) 773-5759
14	<pre>gramsey@orrick.com acaridis@orrick.com</pre>
15	
16	Also Present: Reporter Caroline Chapman, CSR No. 467 Videographer Taylor Willis
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco (800) 869-9132 www.merrillcorp.com/law

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY AHMED TEWFIK, Ph.D. - 6/15/2015

Page 3

			Page 3
1		INDEX	
2	Appearances		2
3	AHMED TEWFIK,	Ph.D	
4			PAGE
5	Examination & Reporter's Co	oy Mr. Ramsey	4 244
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8	NO. DESCRIPTI Exhibit 72		PAGE 13
9 10	Exhibit 73	Technical Expert Report of Ahmed Tewfik, Ph.D., Regarding Infringement	14
11			6.7
12	Exhibit 74	Metadata of the presentation entitled, "How Audible Magic Does It."	67
13	Exhibit 75	How Audible Magic Does It,	71
14		AUDMAG00064317 through 64333	
15	Exhibit 76	U.S. Patent No. 7,660,700	75
16	Exhibit 80	Practical Cryptography, BLU0456425 through 429	113
17	Exhibit 81	Highly Confidential	121
18		Rebuttal Expert Report of John Strawn, Ph.D.	
19		(Exhibit numbering skips from 76 to	80.)
20		· J I	,
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco (800) 869-9132 www.merrillcorp.com/law

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY AHMED TEWFIK, Ph.D. - 6/15/2015

Page 66

1	Q. Okay. And beyond MFCCs, you don't point to any	10:38
2	other structure as being the alleged abstract in Audible	
3	Magic's products, correct?	10:38
4	A. (That is my recollection.)	10:38
5	Q. Okay.	10:38
6	A. Now I am trying to remember, because there were	10:38
7	other characteristics mentioned in some of the	10:39
8	literature I had reviewed. But again, without going	10:39
9	through reading the whole report, which is probably not	10:39
10	what you want me to do at this point, I think that the	10:39
11	MFCC was the main aspect I focused on.	10:39
12		10:39
	Q. All right. Do you believe that there is	
13	anything inventive about Blue Spike's patents, do you	10:39
14	have a view of a topic?	10:39
15	A. Well, again, I answered this question in the	10:39
16	previous hour, meaning that, when I produced this report	10:39
17	I presumed that the inventions were valid. And I did	10:39
18	not take the time to look at whether there were there	10:39
19	was prior art that existed that replicated this	10:39
20	invention or there exited prior art that we put together	10:39
21	to come where it was obvious for someone skilled in	10:39
22	the art to understand this. So that's not something	10:40
23	that I opined on. And I did not take the time to look	10:40
24	at where the state of the art was back at the time that	10:40
25	the patents were filed and issued.	10:40